#### **Online Supplementary Materials**

Comparative Effectiveness of Lactulose and Sennosides for the Prevention of Peritoneal Dialysis-Related Peritonitis: An Open-Label, Randomized, Active-Controlled Trial

Kajohnsak Noppakun, Tichanun Narongchai, Romanee Chaiwarith, Uraiwan Wongsawad, Surachet Vongsanim, Chidchanok Ruengorn, Surapon Nochaiwong\*


#### \*Correspondence and requests for materials:


Surapon Nochaiwong, PharmD, Department of Pharmaceutical Care, Faculty of Pharmacy, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand, Phone: 66899973365, Fax: 6653222741, Email: surapon.nochaiwong@gmail.com

#### **Supplementary Online Content**


Figure S1	Changes in Body Weight and Blood Chemistry Results During Follow-Up Period	<b>S</b> 3
Figure S2	Hazard Ratios for Primary Outcome According to Subgroup Analysis	S6
Appendix I	CONSORT 2010 Checklist	<b>S</b> 7


Figure S1 Changes in Body Weight and Blood Chemistry Results During Follow-Up Period






**Figure S1** Changes in Body Weight and Blood Chemistry Results During Follow-Up Period (Continued)





**Figure S1** Changes in Body Weight and Blood Chemistry Results During Follow-Up Period (Continued)






Figure S2 Hazard Ratios for Primary Outcome According to Subgroup Analysis

Subgroup	Lactulose	Sennosides ent/total no.	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p value for interaction
All patients	14/50	7/50	2.40 (0.97–5.96)	moraduon
Age				0.27
< 65 years	8/27	3/32	3.91 (1.03–14.8)	
≥ 65 years	6/23	4/18	1.29 (0.36–4.57)	
Sex				0.29
Male	7/25	5/25	1.60 (0.51–5.06)	
Female	7/25	2/25	4.47 (0.92–21.7)	
Diabetes			į	0.10
No	10/33	3/33		
Yes	4/17	4/17	1.14 (0.28–4.55)	
Duration of PD				0.66
< 1 year	3/9	1/10	3.83 (0.40–39.9)	
≥ 1 year	11/41	6/40	2.23 0.82–6.06)	
Mode of PD				0.27
Automated PD	1/6	2/7 —	1.28 (0.08–20.5)	
Chronic ambulatory PD	13/44	5/43	3.04 (1.08–8.55)	
History of peritonitis				0.68
No	9/35	4/35	0.68 (0.11–4.33)	
Yes	5/15	3/15	2.67 (0.82–8.73)	
History of laxative use			i	0.49
No	7/21	3/24	3.47 (0.89–13.6)	
Yes	7/29	4/26	1.79 (0.52–6.11)	
Serum albumin				0.11
< 3.5 g/dl	5/15	4/11	0.98 (0.26–3.27)	
≥ 3.5 g/dl	9/35	3/39	4.05 (1.09–15.0)	
Serum potassium				0.86
< 3.5 mmol/L	3/6	0/11	→ 15.86 (1.52–2,137	)
≥ 3.5 mmol/L	11/44	7/39   0.1	1.57 (0.63–4.13) 0.5 1 2 10 50	
		Lactule	ose better Sennosides better	



# Appendix I. CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial $\ast$

Section/Topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract			, 0
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	1
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	3
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	5-6
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	6-7
Methods			
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	7
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	NA
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	7-8
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	7
Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	8-9
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when	
		they were assessed	9-10
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	NA
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	11
	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	NA
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	8
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	8
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered	
concealment		containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	
mechanism			8



### Appendix I. CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial\* (continued)

Section/Topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Randomisation:			
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned	
		participants to interventions	8
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers,	NA
		those assessing outcomes) and how	
	11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	8-9
Statistical methods	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	11
	12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	11-12
Results			
Participant flow (a	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment,	
diagram is strongly		and were analysed for the primary outcome	12, Figure 1
recommended)	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	Figure 1
Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	NA
	14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped	NA
Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	12, Table 1
Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis	
		was by original assigned groups	12, Table 1
Outcomes and	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its	
estimation		precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	Throughout
			results
	17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	12-14,
			Table2, Table
			3, Figure 2A,
			Figure 2B



# Appendix I. CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial\* (continued)

Section/Topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Ancillary analyses	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses,	
		distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	12, Figure S1,
			Figure S2
Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)	14
Discussion			
Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	16, 17
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	16, 17
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant	17
		evidence	
Other information			
Registration	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	4, 7
Protocol	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	NA
Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	18

<sup>\*</sup>We strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If relevant, we also recommend reading CONSORT extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and pragmatic trials. Additional extensions are forthcoming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see <a href="https://www.consort-statement.org">www.consort-statement.org</a>.